# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

FLOYDELL C. LIVINGSTON,	)
Plaintiff,	)
V.	) No. 4:06-CV-234-TCM
ALLYSON SEGER, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

### ORDER AND MEMORANDUM

This matter is before the Court upon the application of Floydell C. Livingston (registration no. C1829688) for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee.

# 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), a prisoner bringing a civil action in forma pauperis is required to pay the full amount of the filing fee. If the prisoner has insufficient funds in his prison account to pay the entire fee, the Court must assess and, when funds exist, collect an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of (1) the average monthly deposits in the prisoner's account; or (2) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the prior six-month period. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner is required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. See

28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). The agency having custody of the prisoner will forward these monthly payments to the Clerk of Court each time the amount in the prisoner's account exceeds \$10, until the filing fee is fully paid. Id.

Applicant has submitted an affidavit and a certified copy of his prison account statement for the six-month period preceding the receipt of his complaint on December 28, 2005. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1),(2). A review of plaintiff's account statement indicates an average monthly deposit of \$26.50, and an average monthly account balance of \$381.28. Plaintiff has insufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee. Accordingly, the Court will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$76.26, which is 20 percent of plaintiff's average monthly balance.

#### 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court may dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis at any time if the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. An action is frivolous if "it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). An action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted if it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief. Conley v. Gibson, 355

U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957); <u>Jackson Sawmill Co. v. United States</u>, 580 F.2d 302, 306 (8th Cir. 1978).

In reviewing a pro se complaint under § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must give the complaint the benefit of a liberal construction. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). The Court must also weigh all factual allegations in favor of the plaintiff, unless the facts alleged are clearly baseless. Denton v. Hernandez, 112 S. Ct. 1728, 1733 (1992); Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

## The complaint

Plaintiff, an inmate at the St. Louis Medium Security Institution, seeks monetary and injunctive relief in this action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Plaintiff alleges that on or about April 5, 2005, defendants "for no apparent reason displayed weapons . . . and then ordered [him] on the ground and handcuffed [him] using excessive force . . . [and] then fabricated a gun charge against [him] alleging that they saw [him] throw a hand gun." Plaintiff further alleges that defendants unlawfully searched him and then planted drugs on him and "fabricate[d] false reports." Plaintiff states that he illegally has lost all of his belongings and has been denied adequate medical treatment.

The complaint suffers from several defects. First, plaintiff's excessive-use-of-force claim is conclusory in that it

does not describe defendants' conduct with sufficient specificity. Plaintiff has failed to set forth the facts underlying his claim that defendants used excessive force against him. Second, plaintiff's claim that he has been denied adequate medical treatment is not directed against any of the named defendants and is also conclusory. Plaintiff does not state what his personal medical needs were, what medical treatment he requested, and to whom and when he made the request. Although the Court is to give plaintiff's complaint the benefit of a liberal construction, the Court will not create facts or claims that have not been alleged. Plaintiff is required, to the best of his ability, to set out not only his alleged claims, but also the facts supporting his claims as to each named defendant.

Because plaintiff has failed to allege specific facts as to each defendant relative to his claims of excessive force and denial of medical treatment, the Court is unable to determine if his claims are legally frivolous. Taking into consideration the fact that plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the Court will grant him time to file an amended complaint in accordance with the instructions set forth below. Plaintiff is advised that his amended complaint will take the place of his original complaint and will be the only complaint that this Court will review.

In accordance with the foregoing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #1] is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall pay an initial partial filing fee of \$76.26 within thirty (30) days from the date of this order, if he has not already done so. Plaintiff is instructed to make his remittance payable to "Clerk, United States District Court," and to include upon it: (1) his name; (2) his prisoner registration number; (3) the case number; and (4) that the remittance is for an original proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall not issue process or cause process to issue upon the complaint at this time.

amended complaint within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order. In the amended complaint, plaintiff shall complete in its entirety the court-provided form for filing a complaint in federal court. Specifically, in the "Caption" on page 1 of the form complaint, where plaintiff is instructed to enter on the blank lines the full names of all defendants in this action, plaintiff must write the name of each and every defendant he wishes to sue; and in the "Statement of Claim," he shall set forth as to each defendant who is named in the "Caption" the specific factual allegations supporting his claim against the particular defendant,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Court will instruct the Clerk of Court to provide plaintiff with the proper form.

as well as the specific rights that he claims the defendant violated. If plaintiff needs additional space to set out his claims against each defendant, he must attach additional sheets of paper to the amended complaint and clearly identify them as part of his "Statement of Claim." Plaintiff is advised that his amended complaint will supersede his original complaint and will be the only complaint this Court reviews.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in addition to a copy of this Order, the Clerk shall forward to plaintiff the court-provided form for a prisoner to file a "Complaint Under The Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's failure to amend his complaint in accordance with this Court's instructions will result in dismissal of this action, without prejudice. See Edgington v. Missouri Department of Corrections, 53 F.3d 777, 779 (8th Cir. 1995).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon the filing of the amended complaint, the Clerk shall resubmit this action to the Court for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

/s/ Thomas C. Mummert, III
THOMAS C. MUMMERT, III
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated this 8th day of May, 2006.